FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

MAR 28 2025

DEPUTY OCERK

N. WASHINGTON

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SOLANO

9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SOLANO	
10	DANIELLE SKARPNES, on behalf of herself and	) Case No. CU23-04638
11	all others similarly situated,	) Assigned for All Purposes to the Hon Tim
12	Plaintiff,	P. Kam, Dept. 7
13	vs.	) [PROPOSED] ORDER AND ) JUDGMENT GRANTING FINAL
14	ELIXIR COSMETICS OPCO, LLC,	) APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
15	Defendants.	) SETTLEMENT )
16		
17		Complaint Filed: October 12, 2023
18		)
19		)
20		
21		
22		
	1	

134778421v.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

5

6

8

The Court, having read the papers filed in support of the Renewed Motion for Final Approval (the "Motion") by Plaintiff Danielle Skarpnes, and after considering the papers submitted in support of the Motion, including the Complaint, Stipulated Class Settlement Agreement and Release and the Amendment to the Class Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Agreement") and following the January 24, 2025, and March 28, 2025 hearings, hereby FINDS AND ORDERS as follows:

Plaintiff and Defendant Elixir Cosmetics OPCO, LLC ("Defendant" or "Elixir") entered into an Agreement on November 7, 2023 to settle this class action lawsuit.

The Court entered an Order on January 19, 2024, preliminarily approving the settlement of this Class action lawsuit ("Preliminary Approval Order"), consistent with Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769, provisionally certifying the Settlement Class, ordering notice to be sent to the Settlement Class, and scheduling a Final Approval Hearing for May 20, 2024;

The Court held Hearings on the Motion on January 24, 2025, and March 28, 2025 to determine whether to give final approval to the Settlement of this class action lawsuit. Counsel for the parties and Objectors Melanie Wohl and Dalit Cohen ("Objectors") were present. The appearances are as stated in the record. The Motion is GRANTED as set forth below.

- 1. **Incorporation of Other Documents.** This Order Granting Final Approval ("Order") incorporates the Agreement. Unless otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms in this Order shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Agreement.
- 2. **Jurisdiction.** Because adequate notice has been disseminated and members of the Settlement Class have been given the opportunity to request exclusion from the Settlement, the Court has personal jurisdiction with respect to the claims of all Class Members. The Court also has subject matter jurisdiction over this class action lawsuit, including jurisdiction to approve the Settlement, and grants final certification of the Class for settlement purposes.
- 3. **Final Class Certification.** The Court finds the Class satisfies all applicable requirements of Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process. Accordingly, the Court certifies for settlement purposes a Class consisting of all persons in the United States or its territories who purchased any Elixir Product for personal, family, household,

or professional purposes between June 1, 2019 and January 19, 2024 excluding (a) any individuals who have pending litigation against Elixir; (b) any Settlement Class Members who file a timely request for exclusion; (c) any officers, directors, or employees, or immediate family members of the officers, directors, or employees, of Elixir or any entity in which Elixir has a controlling interest; (d) any person who has acted as a consultant of Elixir; (e) any legal counsel or employee of legal counsel for Elixir; (f) any federal, state, or local government entities; and (g) any judicial officers presiding over the Action and the members of their immediate family and judicial staff.

- 4. Adequacy of Representation. As Class Counsel, the attorneys at Farnese P.C. have fully and adequately represented the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure Section 382.
- 5. **Appointment of Counsel.** The Court appoints Peter J. Farnese of Farnese P.C. as Class Counsel.
- 6. **Notice Packet.** The Court finds the Long Form Notice, Email Notice, Internet Notice, Mailed Notice, Reminder Notice, and Publication Notice (collectively, the "Notice Packet") and its distribution to Class Members to have been implemented pursuant to the Agreement and this Court's Preliminary Approval Order. The Court also finds the Notice Packet:
  - a. Constitutes notice reasonably calculated to apprise Settlement Class Members of: (i) the pendency of the class action lawsuit; (ii) the material terms and provisions of the Settlement and their rights; (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement; (iv) their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement; (v) their right to claim a Settlement Benefit; (vi) their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; and (vii) the binding effect of the orders and judgment in the class action lawsuit on all participating Settlement Class Members;
  - Constitutes notice that fully satisfies the requirements of Code of Civil Procedure
     Section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process;
  - Constitutes the best practicable notice to Class Members under the circumstances of the class action lawsuit; and

- d. Constitutes reasonable, adequate, and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class
   Members.
- 7. **Final Settlement Approval.** The terms and provisions of the Agreement have been entered in good faith and are the product of arm's-length negotiations by experienced counsel who have done a meaningful investigation of the claims. The Agreement and all its terms and provisions are fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Parties. The Parties are hereby directed to implement the Agreement according to its terms and provisions.
- 8. **Binding Effect.** The terms and provisions of the Settlement and this Order are binding on Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members. The Settlement will have no binding effect upon, and provide no *res judicata* preclusion to, those in the Settlement Class who have submitted timely requests for exclusion.
- 9. **Release of Claims.** As of the Effective Final Settlement Date, Settlement Class Members waive, release, promise never to assert in any forum, remise, and forever discharge the Released Parties from the Released Plaintiff's Claims during the Settlement Class Period.
- 10. **Enforcement of Settlement.** Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the terms and provisions of the Agreement.
- 11. Class Representative Service Award. The Court finds that Class Representative Service Payments of \$2,500 each, to be paid to Plaintiff, and Objectors Cohen and Wohl, out of the Cash Settlement Fund, to be reasonable and appropriate in light of: (a) the risks (financial, professional, and emotional) in commencing this litigation; and (b) the time and effort Plaintiff and Objectors spent litigating this action as the Class Representative. The Class Representative Service Payments are to be paid pursuant to the terms and provisions in the Agreement. The Court appoints Plaintiff Danielle Skarpnes as the Class Representative for settlement purposes.
- 12. **Attorneys' Fees Award and Cost Award.** The Court finds the Counsel's Fees in the amount of \$800,000, to be paid out of the Cash Settlement Fund, to Class Counsel and Counsel for Objectors, to be reasonable and appropriate. The Fees shall be allocated as follows: Class Counsel (\$500,000) and Objectors' Counsel (\$300,000). The Court also finds the Expenses as reimbursement for actual litigation costs incurred by Class Counsel in the amount of \$17,823.29 to

interest. Such amendments or modifications shall be consistent with this Order and cannot limit the

Final Accounting and Compliance. The Court sets a Status Conference for

26

27

28

rights of Settlement Class Members.

19.

1	November 10 2025 at 9:00 a m in Department 7	No later than November 17 2025 Plaintiff shall	
2	November 10, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 7. No later than November 17, 2025 Plaintiff shall file a status report that states the disposition of all settlement proceeds and is accommonised by an		
	file a status report that states the disposition of all settlement proceeds and is accompanied by an		
3	admissible evidentiary declaration.		
4		urt has jurisdiction to enter this Order. This Court	
5		ins jurisdiction for the administration, interpretation, effectuation, and/or enforcement	
6	of the Agreement and of this Order, and for any ot	eement and of this Order, and for any other necessary purpose.	
7		•	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED	C Tim P. Kam	
9	Date: 3 18 2025	- Luc	
10		Hon. Tim P. Kam  Judge of the Superior Court	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24		,	
25			
26			
27			
28			